arXiv:1604.00366v2 [math.CO] 4 Apr 2016

On Dirac's Conjecture

Zh.G. Nikoghosyan

April 5, 2016

Abstract

Let G be a 2-connected graph, l be the length of a longest path in G and c be the circumference - the length of a longest cycle in G. In 1952, Dirac proved that $c > \sqrt{2l}$ and conjectured that $c \geq 2\sqrt{l}$. In this paper we present more general sharp bounds in terms of l and the length m of a vine on a longest path in G including Dirac's conjecture as a corollary: if $c = m + y + 2$ (generally, $c \geq m + y + 2$) for some integer $y \geq 0$, then $c \geq \sqrt{4l + (y+1)^2}$ if m is odd; and $c \geq \sqrt{4l + (y+1)^2 - 1}$ if m is even.

Key words: longest cycle, longest path, circumference.

1 Introduction

We consider only undirected graphs with no loops or multiple edges. Let G be a 2-connected graph. We use c and l to denote the circumference (the length of a longest cycle) and the length of a longest path of G. A good reference for any undefined terms is [1].

In 1952, Dirac [3] proved the following.

Theorem A [3]. In every 2-connected graph, $c > \sqrt{2l}$.

In the same paper [3], Dirac conjectured a sharp version of Theorem A.

Conjecture A [3]. In every 2-connected graph, $c \geq 2\sqrt{l}$.

In this paper we present more general sharp bounds in terms of l and the length of a vine on a longest path of G including Dirac's conjecture as a corollary. In order to formulate this result, we need some additional definitions and notations.

The set of vertices of a graph G is denoted by $V(G)$ and the set of edges by $E(G)$. If Q is a path or a cycle, then the length of Q, denoted by $l(Q)$, is $|E(Q)|$ - the number of edges in Q. We write a cycle Q with a given orientation by $\overrightarrow{Q}$. For $x, y \in V(Q)$, we denote by $x\overrightarrow{Q}y$ the subpath of Q in the chosen direction from x to y. We use $P = x\overrightarrow{P}y$ to denote a path with end vertices x and y in

the direction from x to y. We say that vertex z_1 precedes vertex z_2 on $\overrightarrow{Q}$ if z_1, z_2 occur on $\overrightarrow{Q}$ in this order, and indicate this relationship by $z_1 \prec z_2$. We will write $z_1 \preceq z_2$ when either $z_1 = z_2$ or $z_1 \prec z_2$.

Let $P = x\overrightarrow{P}y$ be a path. A vine of length m on P is a set

$$\{L_i = x_i\overrightarrow{L}_i y_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

of internally-disjoint paths such that

(a) $V(L_i) \cap V(P) = \{x_i, y_i\}$ $(i = 1, ..., m)$,
(b) $x = x_1 \prec x_2 \prec y_1 \preceq x_3 \prec y_2 \preceq x_4 \prec ... \preceq x_m \prec y_{m-1} \prec y_m = y$ on P.

Theorem 1. Let G be a 2-connected graph. If $\{L_1, L_2, ..., L_m\}$ is a vine on a longest path of G and $c = m + y + 2$ for some integer $y \geq 0$, then

$$c \geq \begin{cases} \sqrt{4l + (y+1)^2} & \text{when} \quad m \equiv 1(mod\ 2), \\ \sqrt{4l + (y+1)^2 - 1} & \text{when} \quad m \equiv 0(mod\ 2). \end{cases}$$

The following lemma guarantees the existence of at least one vine on a longest path in a 2-connected graph.

The Vine Lemma [2]. Let G be a k-connected graph and P a path in G. Then there are $k - 1$ pairwise-disjoint vines on P.

2 The proof of Theorem 1

Let $P = x\overrightarrow{P}y$ be a longest path in G and let

$$\{L_i = x_i\overrightarrow{L}_i y_i : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$$

be a vine of length m on P. Put

$$L_i = x_i\overrightarrow{L}_i y_i \quad (i = 1, ..., m), \quad A_1 = x_1\overrightarrow{P}x_2, \quad A_m = y_{m-1}\overrightarrow{P}y_m,$$
$$A_i = y_{i-1}\overrightarrow{P}x_{i+1} \quad (i = 2, 3, ..., m-1), \quad B_i = x_{i+1}\overrightarrow{P}y_i \quad (i = 1, ..., m-1),$$
$$l(A_i) = a_i \quad (i = 1, ..., m), \quad l(B_i) = b_i \quad (i = 1, ..., m-1).$$

By combining appropriate L_i, A_i, B_i, we can form the following cycles:

$$Q_0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m}(A_i \cup L_i),$$

$$Q_j = \bigcup_{i=j+1}^{m-j} (A_i \cup L_i) \cup B_j \cup B_{m-j} \quad (j = 1, 2, ..., \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor).$$

Since $l(L_i) \geq 1 \ \ (i = 1, 2, ..., m)$ and $a_1 \geq 1$, $a_m \geq 1$, we have

$$c \geq l(Q_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} l(L_i) + a_1 + a_m + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i \geq m + 2,$$

Let $c = m + y + 2$, where $y \geq 0$. If $a_1 + a_m \geq y + 3 - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i$, then

$$l(Q_0) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} l(L_i) + (a_1 + a_m) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i$$

$$\geq m + \left(y + 3 - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i \right) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i = m + y + 3 > c,$$

a contradiction. Hence,

$$a_1 + a_m \leq y + 2 - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i. \tag{1}$$

Next, if $b_1 + b_{m-1} \geq y + 5 - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i$, then

$$l(Q_1) = \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} l(L_i) + (b_1 + b_{m-1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i$$

$$\geq (m-2) + \left(y + 5 - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i \right) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i = m + y + 3 > c,$$

a contradiction. Hence,

$$b_1 + b_{m-1} \leq y + 4 - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i \leq y + 4.$$

Furthermore, if $b_2 + b_{m-2} \geq y + 7 - \sum_{i=3}^{m-2} a_i$, then

$$l(Q_2) = \sum_{i=3}^{m-2} l(L_i) + (b_2 + b_{m-2}) + \sum_{i=3}^{m-2} a_i$$

$$\geq (m-4) + \left(y + 7 - \sum_{i=3}^{m-2} a_i \right) + \sum_{i=3}^{m-2} a_i = m + y + 3 > c,$$

again a contradiction. Hence

$$b_2 + b_{m-2} \leq y + 6 - \sum_{i=3}^{m-2} a_i \leq y + 6.$$

Analogously,

$$b_j + b_{m-j} \le y + 2(j+1) - \sum_{i=j+1}^{m-j} a_i$$

$$\le y + 2(j+1) \quad (j = 1, 2, ..., \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor). \tag{2}$$

Case 1. $m = 2k+1$ for some integer $k \ge 0$.
By (2),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{(m-1)/2} (b_j + b_{m-j}) \le \sum_{j=1}^{(m-1)/2} (y + 2(j+1))$$

$$= \frac{m-1}{2}(y+2) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{(} m-1)/2 = \frac{m-1}{2}(y+2) + \frac{(m-1)(m+1)}{4}.$$

Then

$$l = (a_1 + a_m) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i$$

$$\le \left(y + 2 - \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i \right) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i + \frac{m-1}{2}(y+2) + \frac{(m-1)(m+1)}{4}$$

$$= (y+2)\frac{m+1}{2} + \frac{(m-1)(m+1)}{4}$$

$$= (y+2)\frac{c-y-1}{2} + \frac{(c-y-3)(c-y-1)}{4} = \frac{c^2 - (y+1)^2}{4},$$

implying that $c \ge \sqrt{4l + (y+1)^2}$.

Case 2. $m = 2k$ for some integer $k \ge 1$.
As in Case 1,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{(m-2)/2} (b_j + b_{m-j}) + b_{m/2}$$

$$\le (y+2)\frac{m-2}{2} + \frac{(m-2)m}{4} + b_{m/2}.$$

For the cycle

$$Q^* = B_{m/2} \cup B_{(m-2)/2} \cup A_{m/2} \cup L_{m/2}$$

we have

$$l(Q^*) = b_{m/2} + b_{(m-2)/2} + a_{m/2} + l(L_{m/2}) \le c = y + m + 2,$$

implying that

$$b_{m/2} + b_{(m-2)/2} \le y + m + 1.$$

Then it easy to see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i \le (y+2)\frac{m-2}{2} + \frac{(m-2)m}{4} + \frac{y}{2} + \frac{m+2}{2}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$l = (a_1 + a_m) + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} a_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i$$

$$\le (y+2) + (y+2)\frac{m-2}{2} + \frac{(m-2)m}{4} + \frac{y}{2} + \frac{m+2}{2}$$

$$= \frac{(m+2)^2 + 2y(m+1)}{4} = \frac{(c-y)^2 + 2y(c-y-1)}{4} = \frac{c^2 - y^2 - 2y}{4},$$

implying that $c \ge \sqrt{4l + (y+1)^2 - 1}$. Theorem is proved. ■

Let $P = x\overrightarrow{P}y$ be a path and let

$$\{L_i = x_i \overrightarrow{L}_i y_i : 1 \le i \le m\}$$

be a vine of length m on P. Put

$$L_i = x_i \overrightarrow{L}_i y_i \ \ (i = 1, ..., m), \ \ A_1 = x_1 \overrightarrow{P} x_2, \ \ A_m = y_{m-1} \overrightarrow{P} y_m,$$

$$A_i = y_{i-1} \overrightarrow{P} x_{i+1} \ \ (i = 2, 3, ..., m-1), \ \ B_i = x_{i+1} \overrightarrow{P} y_i \ \ (i = 1, ..., m-1),$$

$$l(A_i) = a_i \ \ (i = 1, ..., m), \ \ l(B_i) = b_i \ \ (i = 1, ..., m-1).$$

Let $y \ge 0$ by an integer and

$$a_1 = a_m = \frac{y}{2} + 1, \ a_2 = a_3 = ... = a_{m-1} = 0,$$

$$b_i = b_{m-i} = \frac{y}{2} + i + 1 \ (i = 1, 2, ..., \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor).$$

If m is odd, then $c = m + y + 2$ and $c = \sqrt{4l + (y+1)^2}$.

If m is even, we put $b_{m/2} = \frac{y}{2} + \frac{m+2}{2}$, implying that $c = m + y + 2$ and $c = \sqrt{4l + (y+1)^2 - 1}$. Thus, the bounds in Theorem 1 are best possible.

References

[1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).

[2] J.A. Bondy, Basic Graph Theory: Paths and circuits. Handbook of combinatorics, Vol. 1,2, Elsevier, Amsterdam (1990).

[3] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proc. London, Math. Soc. 2 (1952) 69-81.

Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems
National Academy of Sciences
P. Sevak 1, Yerevan 0014, Armenia
E-mail: zhora@ipia.sci.am